

Quantum Information Theory

PD Dr. Joseph M. Renes

Winter Semester 2012/2013



TECHNISCHE
UNIVERSITÄT
DARMSTADT

Problem Set #1

25 January 2013

Problem 1.1 The Hadamard Gate

An important qubit transformation in quantum information theory is the Hadamard gate. In the basis of σ_z , it takes the form

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

That is to say, if $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ are the σ_z eigenstates, corresponding to eigenvalues $+1$ and -1 , respectively, then

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle\langle 0| + |0\rangle\langle 1| + |1\rangle\langle 0| - |1\rangle\langle 1|) \quad (2)$$

a) Show that H is unitary.

Solution: A matrix U is unitary when $U^\dagger U = \mathbb{I}$. In fact, $H^\dagger = H$, so we just need to verify that $H^2 = \mathbb{I}$, which is the case.

b) What are the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of H ?

Solution: Since $H^2 = \mathbb{I}$, its eigenvalues must be ± 1 . If both eigenvalues were equal, it would be proportional to the identity matrix. Thus, one eigenvalue is $+1$ and the other -1 . By direct calculation we can find that the (normalized) eigenvectors are

$$|\lambda_\pm\rangle = \pm \frac{\sqrt{2 \pm \sqrt{2}}}{2} |0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(2 \pm \sqrt{2})}} |1\rangle \quad (3)$$

c) What form does H take in the basis of $\sigma_{\hat{x}}$? $\sigma_{\hat{y}}$?

Solution: The eigenbasis of $\sigma_{\hat{x}}$ is formed by the two states $|\hat{x}_\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle \pm |1\rangle)$. From the form of H given in (2), it is clear that we can express H as

$$H = |\hat{x}_+\rangle\langle 0| + |\hat{x}_-\rangle\langle 1| \quad \text{or} \quad (4)$$

$$H = |0\rangle\langle \hat{x}_+| + |1\rangle\langle \hat{x}_-| \quad (5)$$

The latter form follows immediately from the first since $H^\dagger = H$. Finally, we can express the σ_z basis $|0/1\rangle$ in terms of the $\sigma_{\hat{x}}$ basis as $|0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\hat{x}_+\rangle + |\hat{x}_-\rangle)$ and $|1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\hat{x}_+\rangle - |\hat{x}_-\rangle)$. Thus, if we replace $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ by these expressions in the equation for H we find

$$H = |0\rangle\langle \hat{x}_+| + |1\rangle\langle \hat{x}_-| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\hat{x}_+\rangle\langle \hat{x}_+| + |\hat{x}_-\rangle\langle \hat{x}_+| + |\hat{x}_+\rangle\langle \hat{x}_-| - |\hat{x}_-\rangle\langle \hat{x}_-|). \quad (6)$$

Evidently, H has exactly the same representation in the $\sigma_{\hat{x}}$ basis! In retrospect, we should have anticipated this immediately once we noticed that H interchanges the $\sigma_{\hat{z}}$ and $\sigma_{\hat{x}}$ bases.

For $\sigma_{\hat{y}}$, we can proceed differently. What is the action of H on the $\sigma_{\hat{y}}$ eigenstates? These are $|\hat{y}_{\pm}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle \pm i|1\rangle)$. Thus,

$$H|\hat{y}_{\pm}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(H|0\rangle \pm iH|1\rangle) \quad (7)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle \pm i|0\rangle \mp i|1\rangle) \quad (8)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1 \pm i}{2}\right)|0\rangle + \left(\frac{1 \mp i}{2}\right)|1\rangle \quad (9)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e^{i\pm\frac{\pi}{4}}\left(|0\rangle + \left(\frac{1 \mp i}{1 \pm i}\right)|1\rangle\right) \quad (10)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e^{i\pm\frac{\pi}{4}}(|0\rangle \mp i|1\rangle) \quad (11)$$

$$= e^{i\pm\frac{\pi}{4}}|\hat{y}_{\mp}\rangle \quad (12)$$

Therefore, the Hadamard operation just swaps the two states in the basis (note that if we used a different phase convention for defining the $\sigma_{\hat{y}}$ eigenstates, there would be extra phase factors in this equation). So, $H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}} \\ e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ in this basis.

d) Give a geometric interpretation of the action of H in terms of the Bloch sphere.

Solution: All unitary operators on a qubit are rotations of the Bloch sphere by some angle about some axis. Since $H^2 = \mathbb{I}$, it must be a π rotation. Because the \hat{y} -axis is interchanged under H , the axis must lie somewhere in the \hat{x} - \hat{z} plane. Finally, since H interchanges the $\sigma_{\hat{x}}$ and $\sigma_{\hat{z}}$ bases, it must be a rotation about the $\hat{m} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{x} + \hat{z})$ axis.

Problem 1.2 State Distinguishability

One way to understand the cryptographic abilities of quantum mechanics is from the fact that non-orthogonal states cannot be perfectly distinguished.

a) In the course of a quantum key distribution protocol, suppose that Alice randomly chooses one of the following two states and transmits it to Bob:

$$|\varphi_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle), \quad \text{or} \quad |\varphi_1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + i|1\rangle). \quad (13)$$

Eve intercepts the qubit and performs a measurement to identify the state. The measurement consists of the orthogonal states $|\psi_0\rangle$ and $|\psi_1\rangle$, and Eve guesses the transmitted state was $|\varphi_0\rangle$ when she obtains the outcome $|\psi_0\rangle$, and so forth. What is the probability that Eve correctly guesses the state, averaged over Alice's choice of the state for a given measurement? What is the optimal measurement Eve should make, and what is the resulting optimal guessing probability?

Solution: The the probability of correctly guessing, averaged over Alice's choice of the state is

$$P_{\text{guess}} = \frac{1}{2}(|\langle\psi_0|\varphi_0\rangle|^2 + |\langle\psi_1|\varphi_1\rangle|^2) \quad (14)$$

To optimize the choice of measurement, suppose $|\psi_0\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$. Then $|\psi_1\rangle = -\beta^*|0\rangle + \alpha^*|1\rangle$ is orthogonal as intended. Using this in (14) gives

$$p_{\text{guess}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\left| \frac{\alpha^* + \beta^*}{\sqrt{2}} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{i\alpha - \beta}{\sqrt{2}} \right|^2 \right) \quad (15)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (1 + 2\text{Re} \left[\left(\frac{1-i}{2} \right) \alpha\beta^* \right]). \quad (16)$$

If we express α and β as $\alpha = ae^{i\theta}$ and $\beta = be^{i\eta}$ for real a, b, θ, η , then we get

$$p_{\text{guess}} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + 2ab\text{Re} \left[\left(\frac{1-i}{2} \right) e^{i(\theta-\eta)} \right]). \quad (17)$$

To maximize, we ought to choose $a = b = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, and we may also set $\eta = 0$ since only the difference $\theta - \eta$ is relevant. Now we have

$$p_{\text{guess}} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \text{Re} \left[\left(\frac{1-i}{2} \right) e^{i\theta} \right]) \quad (18)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{Re} \left[e^{-i\pi/4} e^{i\theta} \right] \right), \quad (19)$$

from which it is clear that the best thing to do is to set $\theta = \pi/4$ to get $p_{\text{guess}} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}) \approx 85.4\%$. The basis states making up the measurement are $|\psi_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e^{i\pi/4}|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$ and $|\psi_1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-|0\rangle + e^{-i\pi/4}|1\rangle)$.

- b) Now suppose Alice randomly chooses between two states separated by an angle θ on the Bloch sphere. What is the measurement which optimizes the guessing probability? What is the resulting probability of correctly identifying the state?

Solution: The point of this exercise is to show that thinking in terms of the Bloch sphere is a lot more intuitive than just taking a brute force approach as we did in the solution of the previous exercise. Let \hat{n}_0 and \hat{n}_1 be the Bloch vectors of the two states. Call \hat{m} the Bloch vector associated with one of the two basis vectors of the measurement, specifically the one which indicates that the state is $|\varphi_0\rangle$ (the other is associated with $-\hat{m}$). The guessing probability takes the form

$$p_{\text{guess}} = \frac{1}{2} (|\langle\psi_0|\varphi_0\rangle|^2 + |\langle\psi_1|\varphi_1\rangle|^2) \quad (20)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} (1 + \hat{n}_0 \cdot \hat{m}) + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \hat{n}_1 \cdot \hat{m}) \right) \quad (21)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} (2 + \hat{m} \cdot (\hat{n}_0 - \hat{n}_1)) \quad (22)$$

The optimal \hat{m} lies along $\hat{n}_0 - \hat{n}_1$ and has unit length, i.e.

$$\hat{m} = \frac{\hat{n}_0 - \hat{n}_1}{\sqrt{(\hat{n}_0 - \hat{n}_1) \cdot (\hat{n}_0 - \hat{n}_1)}} \quad (23)$$

$$= \frac{\hat{n}_0 - \hat{n}_1}{\sqrt{2 - 2\cos\theta}}. \quad (24)$$

Therefore,

$$p_{\text{guess}} = \frac{1}{4} \left(2 + \sqrt{2 - 2 \cos \theta} \right) \quad (25)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{1 - \cos \theta}{2}} \right) \quad (26)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \right). \quad (27)$$

Finally, we should check that this gives sensible results. When $\theta = 0$, $p_{\text{guess}} = \frac{1}{2}$, as it should. On the other hand, the states $|\varphi_k\rangle$ are orthogonal for $\theta = \pi$, and indeed $p_{\text{guess}} = 1$ in this case. In the previous exercise we investigated the case $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and here we immediately find $p_{\text{guess}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$, as before.

Problem 1.3 Fidelity

- a) Given a qubit prepared in a completely unknown state $|\psi\rangle$, what is the *fidelity* F of a random guess $|\varphi\rangle$, where $F(|\varphi\rangle, |\psi\rangle) = |\langle\varphi|\psi\rangle|^2$? The fidelity can be thought of as the probability that an input state (the guess) $|\varphi\rangle$ passes the “ ψ ” test, which is the measurement in the basis $|\psi\rangle, |\psi^\perp\rangle$.

Solution: First let’s just try to guess the result. The unknown state $|\psi\rangle$ is somewhere on the Bloch sphere, and we might as well orient the sphere so that this direction is the \hat{z} direction. The fidelity of $|\psi\rangle$ with any other state $|\varphi\rangle$ is given by

$$|\langle\psi|\varphi\rangle|^2 = \text{Tr}[P_\psi P_\varphi] = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos \theta), \quad (28)$$

where θ is the angle between the two states on the Bloch sphere. Any state in the \hat{x} - \hat{y} plane has a fidelity of $\frac{1}{2}$, and since a random state is as likely to lie in the upper hemisphere as in the lower, i.e. $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} + \alpha$ and $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha$ are equally-likely, the average fidelity ought to be $\frac{1}{2}$. A simple integration confirms this guess:

$$\langle F \rangle = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin \theta \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos \theta) = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin \theta = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (29)$$

- b) In order to improve the guess, we might make a measurement of the qubit, say along the \hat{z} axis. Given the result $k \in \{0, 1\}$, our guess is then the state $|k\rangle$. What is the average fidelity of the guess after the measurement, i.e. the probability of passing the “ ψ ” test?

Solution: Given the outcome $|k\rangle$, the fidelity is $F_k = |\langle k|\psi\rangle|^2$ and this occurs with probability $p_k = |\langle k|\psi\rangle|^2$, so averaging over the measurement outcome gives $F = \sum_k p_k F_k = \sum_k |\langle k|\psi\rangle|^4$. Now we average over $|\psi\rangle = \cos \frac{\theta}{2} |0\rangle + \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\varphi} |1\rangle$:

$$\langle F \rangle = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin \theta \left(\cos^4 \frac{\theta}{2} + \sin^4 \frac{\theta}{2} \right) = \frac{2}{3}. \quad (30)$$

Thus making the measurement increases the fidelity of the guess.