Tailored quantum statistics from broadband states of light
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Abstract

We analyze the statistics of photons originating from amplified spontaneous emission generated by a quantum dot superluminescent diode. Experimentally detectable emission properties are taken into account by parametrizing the corresponding quantum state as a multimode phase-randomized Gaussian density operator. The validity of this model is proven in two subsequent experiments using fast two-photon-absorption detection observing second-order equal-time and second-order fully time-resolved intensity correlations on femtosecond timescales. In the first experiment, we study the photon statistics when the number of contributing longitudinal modes is systematically reduced by applying well-controlled optical feedback. In a second experiment, we add coherent light from a single-mode laser diode to quantum dot superluminescent diode broadband radiation. Tuning the power ratio, we realize tailored second-order correlations ranging from Gaussian to Poissonian statistics. Both experiments are very well matched by theory, thus giving first insights into the quantum properties of radiation from quantum dot superluminescent diodes.

1. Introduction

The intriguing mechanism of amplified spontaneous emission (ASE) results in broad radiation spectra, high output intensities, and strong directionality of emission [1–3]. Since the invention of the laser in 1960, ASE has been the subject of intense theoretical and experimental coherence studies, in particular due to the disturbing influence of ASE at the gas-laser threshold [4–7]. In the 1970s, Allen and Peters were the first to address the ASE phenomenon, putting it in context with Dicke’s superradiance [8]. They defined ASE as ‘highly directional radiation emitted by an extended medium with a randomly prepared population inversion in the absence of a laser cavity.’ Supported by theoretical studies and He-Ne gas discharged tube-amplification experiments, they established the ASE threshold condition, the pump-output-intensity behavior, saturation effects, and spatial coherence properties [9–12].

Todays, well-developed and highly sophisticated semiconductor laser technology provides compact ASE light sources realized with superluminescent diodes (SLD), which are semiconductor-based opto-electronic emitters generating broadband light. The technological development of these high-performance devices with wide-ranging material structure systems is boosting application areas such as telecommunications, medicine, and industry [13–16]. When it comes to compact, miniaturized light sources with spectrally broad properties, SLDs are the first choice. To foster technological progress, it is indispensable to develop theoretical models of SLD emission in close adaption to specific material systems targeting specific device properties such as pulse performance [17], amplification improvements [18], and noise behavior [19–21]. Sophisticated numerical models based on rate equations and travelling wave approaches have been developed [22–24] and guide future progress.

However, fundamental quantum optical studies on SLD light emission, particularly regarding higher-order coherence properties have not—to the best of our knowledge—been addressed so far. The complex material structures with predominantly application-driven objectives often lead to theoretical approaches that ignore the
quantum aspect of the light state. In this context, photon statistics is the footprint of the quantum nature of light; it is directly related to the emission process and quantified by the central degree of second-order coherence, \( g^{(2)}(\tau = 0) \) [25, 26]. It is important to point out that experimental access to photon statistics via the determination of the second-order intensity auto-correlation function, \( g^{(2)}(\tau) \), in this spectrally ultrabroadband regime, was not possible until 2009. Then, Boitier et al [27] demonstrated (via two-photon absorption (TPA) in a semiconductor-based photocathode of a photomultiplier) evidence of the photon-bunching effect on the corresponding ultrashort timescales. Since then, the second-order correlations, \( g^{(2)}(\tau) \), of broadband light states can be globally resolved, in the sense that all contributing spectral components are simultaneously detected. A number of investigations have exploited this elegant TPA-detection technique so far, referring to characterizations and applications of broadband semiconductor emitters regarding their photon statistical characteristics [28–34].

Moreover, the exploitation of quantum dot (QD)-based gain material in SLD structures enables a strong enhancement of the spectral broadening [35] and introduces a non-negligible quantum aspect for the carrier dynamics in the semiconductor material, as well as for the generation of photons [36]. The quantized zero-dimensional carrier systems of the inhomogeneously broadened QDs in SLD structures generate a strong emission-state hierarchy [37], which has only recently been extensively investigated regarding its impact on coherence properties [29]. Recent studies on QD-SLD light coherence [30] have revealed a temperature-induced reduction of the intensity correlations, while the ultrabroadband spectral emission remains unchanged. This novel hybrid light state exhibits very low first-order coherence, as it is spectrally broad in terms of wavelength \( \Delta \lambda = 65 \) nm or angular frequency \( b = 2\pi \cdot 124.1 \) THz, but it shows suppressed \( g^{(2)}(0) \) = 1.33 laser-like intensity correlations.

These latest experiments require the development of a quantum theory of ASE light states emitted by QD-SLDs. In this paper, we propose a simple model in section 2 that allows us to include specific emission properties of a given QD-SLD device without considering specific structural characteristics. In particular, we surmise a multimode, phase-randomized Gaussian (PRAG) quantum state and discuss the evaluation of moments, as well as the correlation functions of the light field. To probe this hypothesis, we match it with observations in two different types of experiments. The results of the first experiment are reported in section 3, where the number of modes of the QD-SLD light is varied systematically via optical feedback, and we observe the response in the photon statistics. A second experiment is presented in section 4, where we induce a transition in the photon statistics by superimposing coherent light from a laser diode with the broadband emission of a QD-SLD. Our conclusions and future perspectives are presented in section 5. We present the technical aspects of the interpolating spectra and the Euler-Maclaurin formula in two appendices.

### 2. Emission from a QD-SLD

The emission of an edge-emitting QD-SLD is described by the quantized transversal electric field, \( \hat{E} = \hat{E}^{(+)} + \hat{E}^{(-)} \). To model a broad radiation spectrum, we need to consider a superposition of numerous longitudinal modes, \( N \), for the positive-frequency part of the electric field

\[
\hat{E}^{(+)}(t, r) = \hat{E}^{(+)}(x, y, z - ct) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} E_j u_j(t, r) \hat{a}_j
\]

at position \( r = (x, y, z) \) and time \( t \). The structural composition of QD-SLDs [38] enforces a linear y-polarization upon the radiation field. As we are interested in the forward propagating field, we want to consider the spatiotemporal modes of the field, \( u_j = \chi(x, y) e^{i(k_j z - \omega_j t)} e_y \). They are formed by a single transverse wave function, \( \chi \), as well as longitudinal plane waves with wave numbers \( k_j = 2\pi j / L \). Here, \( L \) is the length of the optical system and \( A \) is the cross-section area. Then, the mode functions are normalized to the volume \( V = AL \),

\[
\int_V d^3r \left| u_j(t, r) \right|^2 = V.
\]

The quantized amplitude, \( \hat{a}_j \), of the electromagnetic field annihilates photons of mode \( j \) and satisfies the bosonic commutation relation \( \left[ \hat{a}_j, \hat{a}_j^\dagger \right] = \delta_0 \). This field is an approximate solution of the free Maxwell equation with a linear dispersion relation \( \omega_j = c k_j \), with the velocity of light, \( c \). The field normalization, \( E_j = i \sqrt{\hbar \omega_j / 2\epsilon_0 V} \), of equation (1) is chosen such that the energy of the transversal field is given by

\[
\hat{H} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \hbar \omega_j \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j,
\]

where \( \epsilon_0 \) is the vacuum permittivity.
2.1. Quantum state of the electromagnetic field

To parametrize the quantum state of the QD-SLD emission, we consider an observed optical spectrum, \( S(\omega) \), shown in figure 1, as an input. Clearly, the diode emits on a central angular frequency, \( \omega_\pi = 2\pi \times 242.6 \text{ THz} \) (\( \lambda = 1236 \text{ nm} \)), showing a Gaussian-shaped distribution with a very broad spectral width of \( \pi = b^2 \times 1.3 \text{ THz} \).

There are also upper and lower side-bands visible, whose strength can be quantified by a three-term Gaussian interpolation of the data (cf table 3 of appendix A) [39]. This obviously demonstrates that the quantum state cannot be described by thermal Planck distribution and that the broadband emission is strongly incoherent, as measured by the first-order correlation function, \( \tau_g(\tau) \).

Regarding the intensity correlations, QD-SLD emission can exhibit significant deviations from ideal thermal photon statistics, \( g(0) = 2 \). A reduction down to laser-like values of \( g(0) = 1.33 \) at temperatures around \( T = 190 \text{ K} \) has been measured [30]. This can be interpreted as a delicate balance between spontaneous and stimulated emission in QD-SLDs.

These experimental facts about the amplified spontaneous emission of the device are captured by the multimode PRAG state [40–43]

\[
\hat{\rho}_T = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^N} \int_0^{2\pi} d^N \phi \, \hat{D}(\gamma) \hat{\rho}_T \hat{D}^\dagger(\gamma)
\]

with the multimode displacement operator

\[
\hat{D}(\gamma) = \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_i \hat{a}_i^\dagger - \gamma_i^* \hat{a}_i \right).
\]

A natural choice for an equilibrium state \( \hat{\rho}_T \) is the canonical operator

\[
\hat{\rho}_T = \frac{e^{-\beta \hat{\rho}}}{Z},
\]

where \( Z = \text{Tr}\{e^{-\beta \hat{\rho}}\} \) is the canonical partition function and \( \beta = 1/k_B T \) is proportional to the inverse temperature.

A phase-space representation of this PRAG state is shown in figure 2. There, we consider a generic mode, \( i \). Starting from a Gaussian state centered at the origin, we shift it by a complex amplitude \( \gamma_i = |\gamma_i| e^{i \phi_i} \) and randomize the phases, \( \phi_i \).

It is instructive to consider the limit of vanishing temperature \( T \to 0 \). There, one finds for the probability of finding \( n \) photons in mode \( i \),

\[
p_i(n) = \langle \delta(n - \hat{n}_i) \rangle = e^{-|\gamma_i|^2/4n!} |\gamma_i|^n n!
\]

with \( \hat{n}_i = \hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_i \). As usual, quantum averages \( \langle \ldots \rangle = \text{Tr}\{\ldots \hat{\rho}_T\} \) require tracing over the state. Clearly, this coincides with the Poissonian distribution of a coherent state, \( |\gamma\rangle = \hat{D}(\gamma)|0\rangle \), even though we have completely randomized the phases of this incoherent state of equation (4).
2.2. Ensemble averages

The properties of the PRAG state are completely characterized by first- and second-order moments

\[ \langle \hat{a}_i \rangle = 0, \quad \{ \hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_i \} = \left( |p_i|^2 + n_T(\omega_i) \right) \delta_{ij}. \] (8)

All higher-order moments can be determined by Wick’s theorem. Here, the mean thermal occupation number

\[ n_T(\omega) = \frac{1}{e^{\beta \omega} - 1} \] (9)

is given by the Bose–Einstein distribution. For near-infrared (NIR) photons with a central angular frequency \( \omega = 2 \pi \cdot 242.6 \text{ THz} (\lambda = 1236 \text{ nm}) \) at room temperature, the thermal occupation, \( n_T(\omega) \approx 10^{-17} \), is negligible. However, one has to keep in mind that the QD-SLD is a driven semiconductor system, so the photon temperature does not have to agree with the ambient temperature.

Commonly, the stationary field intensity\(^3\) of the radiation in units of \( \text{W m}^{-2} \) is given by [44]

\[ I(x, y) = 2e_0c \left\{ \hat{E}^{(-)}(t, \mathbf{r}) \hat{E}^{(+)}(t, \mathbf{r}) \right\}. \] (10)

Due to the stationarity of the state and the translational invariance of the traveling wave field in equation (1), the intensity is also independent of \( t \) and \( z \). The optical power, \( P \), recorded by a typical single-photon detector at position \( z \), is proportional to the intensity, integrated over the detector area

\[ P = \int_A dx \, dy \, I(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^N p_i^s + p_i^t \equiv P^s + P^t \quad \text{with} \quad p_i^t = p^t(\omega_i) = \frac{\hbar \omega_i c}{L} n_T(\omega_i). \] (11)

The power is distributed over a bandwidth of frequencies, as shown in figure 1. Therefore, it is relevant to define frequency averages and variances

\[ \langle \langle \hat{p} \rangle \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N p_i, \quad \Delta^2 \hat{p} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N p_i - \langle \langle \hat{p} \rangle \rangle \rangle^2}{N}. \] (13)

Consequently, the total power (11) can be expressed in terms of the average values as

\[ P = P^s + P^t = N \left( \langle \langle \hat{p}^s \rangle \rangle + \langle \langle \hat{p}^t \rangle \rangle \right), \] (14)

given by the sum of the average powers of the incoherent field, \( \langle \langle \hat{p}^s \rangle \rangle \), as well as the thermal field, \( \langle \langle \hat{p}^t \rangle \rangle \), times the number of modes, \( N \).

The physical quantities introduced in this section, become important in the following when studying first- and second-order correlation functions, as they provide information about spectra and photon statistics of the considered light states.

\( ^3 \) A common definition of an ‘intensity’ misses the appropriate factor of \( 2e_0c \) [69] in disagreement with the radiometric definition of intensity \( \text{W m}^{-2} \) [70].
2.3. First-order temporal correlations

According to Glauber’s coherence theory \([25, 45]\), the first-order correlation function is defined as the expectation value

\[
G^{(1)}(x_1, x_2) = \left\{ E^{(-)}(x_1) E^{(+)}(x_2) \right\}
\]

with space-time event \(x = (t, r)\). To assess scale-invariant properties of the correlations, one considers normalized correlation functions usually given by the fraction

\[
g^{(1)}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{G^{(1)}(x_1, x_2)}{\sqrt{G^{(1)}(x_1, x_1) G^{(1)}(x_2, x_2)}}.
\]

Using a spectrum analyzer, we can obtain an experimentally accessible signal that is proportional to the spatially averaged temporal correlation function

\[
G^{(1)}(r) = \int_A dxdy \ G^{(1)}(t; t + \tau, r).
\]

Applying the normalization condition (2) and using the moments defined in equation (8), we obtain for the temporal first-order correlation function

\[
G^{(1)}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\epsilon_0 c} \sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{-i\omega_i \tau} \left( p_i^+ + p_i^\prime \right).
\]

For vanishing time delay \(\tau = 0\), the first-order correlation function reduces to \(G^{(1)}(\tau = 0) = P/2\epsilon_0 c\).

In evaluating the spatially averaged, normalized first-order temporal correlation function at an equal position, we assume that for two different space-time events, \(G^{(1)}(x_1, x_2)\) changes slowly compared to equal events, \(G^{(1)}(x, x)\), and therefore it can be approximated by

\[
g^{(1)}(\tau) \approx \frac{2\epsilon_0 \alpha G^{(1)}(\tau)}{p} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{-i\omega_i \tau} \left( p_i^+ + p_i^\prime \right).
\]

Its modulus fulfills a Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

\[
0 \leq \left| g^{(1)}(\tau) \right| \leq \left| g^{(1)}(0) \right| = 1.
\]

In the experiments, we evaluate field correlation spectra at the position \(r\); they are defined in the stationary limit as \([46, 47]\)

\[
S(r, \omega) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\epsilon_0 c}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \ dx \ e^{i\omega t} G^{(1)}(t; t + \tau, r).
\]

From this definition, we derive by integration over the cross section of the detector area the power spectrum at the detector position \(z\)

\[
S(\omega) = \int_A dxdy \ S(r, \omega) = \frac{1}{\Delta \omega} \left( p^+ (\omega) + p^\prime (\omega) \right),
\]

with continuous expressions of the powers described by equation (12). In the derivation of this result, we have approximated the sum in (18) by the first term of the Euler-Maclaurin series (cf. equation (B1)) by using the frequency separation between adjacent modes \(\Delta \omega = (\omega_N - \omega_1)/(N - 1)\). Furthermore, we have also assumed that the frequency spectrum has a finite support in the frequency band \([\omega_1, \omega_N]\) and the spectral width is much less than this bandwidth (i.e., \(\sigma \ll |\omega_N - \omega_1|\)).

Obviously, the spectrum is also position independent and it consists of a superposition of the continuous distribution, \(|f(\omega)|^2\), as well as a thermal occupation number \(n_T(\omega)\). These shapes can be extracted from the measured power spectrum (see figure 1).

Integration of the frequency spectrum over the bandwidth

\[
\int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_N} d\omega \ S(\omega) = P
\]

adds up to the total power in equation (11).

2.4. Second-order temporal correlations

In general, two-photon correlations can be measured by two single-photon detectors \([48]\), or a single two-photon detector \([40]\). The present experiments realize a two-photon measurement with a two-photon detector at position \(z\). The relevant observable, the second-order correlation function, is defined as
\[ G^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) = \left\{ \hat{E}^{-}(x_1) \hat{E}^{-}(x_2) \hat{E}^{+}(x_2) \hat{E}^{+}(x_1) \right\} \]  
(24)

and the normalized correlation can be written as
\[ g^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{G^{(2)}(x_1, x_2)}{G^{(1)}(x_1) G^{(1)}(x_2)}. \]  
(25)

For slowly varying \( G^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) \) compared to \( G^{(1)}(x_1, x_1) \), the normalized temporal second-order correlation function measured by the two-photon detector reads
\[ g^{(2)}(\tau) \approx \left( \frac{2e_0c}{p^2} \right)^2 \int_\Delta d\xi d\eta G^{(2)}(t, \tau; t + \tau, \tau). \]  
(26)

Evaluating the spatial integral leads to the expression
\[ g^{(2)}(\tau) = 1 + \left| g^{(1)}(\tau) \right|^2 - \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \rho_i^{p^2} \]  
(27)

depending on the modulus of the temporal first-order correlation function, \( g^{(1)}(\tau) \), calculated in equation (19).

For the considered PRAG state, we find that \( g^{(2)}(\tau) \) is bounded from below and above by
\[ 0 \leq g^{(2)}(\tau) \leq 2, \]  
(28)

which can be verified by considering the single terms in equation (27): the last term takes values only between 0 and 1, and the modulus of \( g^{(1)}(\tau) \) is limited by (20). Furthermore, the normalized second-order correlation function obeys the inequalities
\[ g^{(2)}(\tau) \leq g^{(2)}(0), \quad g^{(2)}(0) \geq 1, \]  
(29)

which also holds in the special case of treating the electrical field purely classically.

In the special case of a temporal second-order auto-correlation function at vanishing time difference \( \tau = 0 \), equation (27) reduces to
\[ g^{(2)}(0) = 2 - \frac{1}{N} \left( \frac{1 + \Delta^2 \langle p^2 \rangle}{\langle \langle p^2 \rangle \rangle} \right)^2, \]  
(30)

with mean values \( \langle \langle p^2 \rangle \rangle \), \( \langle \langle p^2 \rangle \rangle \), and variance \( \Delta^2 \langle p^2 \rangle \) already introduced in equation (13). It is interesting to note that the photon statistics of the PRAG state depends on the number of modes and their distribution i.e., \( g^{(2)}(\tau) \) is coined by the characteristics of each individual QD-SLD.

For negligible thermal contribution and limiting the electric field to a single mode, \( N = 1 \), the intensity correlations \( g^{(2)}(0) = 1 \) are Poissonian again, as demonstrated in equation (7). In the complementary case of a perfect thermal light source, the second term in equation (30) vanishes, and consequently \( g^{(2)}(0) = 2 \).

### 3. Tuning mode numbers via optical feedback

On the one hand, the number of active modes, \( N \), in the emission spectrum of the QD-SLD represents a significant parameter for the PRAG state (equation (4)). On the other hand, the contribution of thermal photons in the NIR \( \Omega_T(\omega) \) is marginal for room temperature and will be neglected in the following. The inverse proportionality to \( N \) in equation (30) suggests that for a high number of modes, the intensity correlations should be very close to \( g^{(2)}(0) = 2 \), whereas for a low number of modes, \( g^{(2)}(0) \rightarrow 1 \) continuously. Figure 3 visualizes the dependence of \( g^{(2)}(0) \) as a function of \( \Delta \) for different values of \( \Delta^2 \langle p^2 \rangle \langle \langle p^2 \rangle \rangle \): They all show steep trajectories from \( g^{(2)}(0) = 1 \) to \( g^{(2)}(0) = 2 \), where with increasing ratio \( \Delta^2 \langle p^2 \rangle \langle \langle p^2 \rangle \rangle \), \( g^{(2)}(0) \) functions are shifted towards higher values of \( N \).

We put these theoretical predictions to an experimental trial with a QD-SLD. Therefore, we must measure second-order correlation functions, \( g^{(2)}(\tau) \), of light emitted in the NIR with spectral widths up to more than \( \Delta \lambda = 100 \) nm corresponding to \( b = 2 \pi \cdot 19.9 \) THz in terms of angular frequency, which sets challenging requirements on the time resolution of the measurement system. Standard coincidence counting techniques such as Hanbury-Brown Twiss (HBT) setups are fundamentally limited by the bandwidth of the implemented detectors, and they fail in time resolution by more than 4 orders of magnitude (see, e.g., [49]). In 2009, Boitier et al developed a method to experimentally access sub-femtosecond time-resolution for second-order correlation functions, \( g^{(2)}(\tau) \) [27]. The technique is based on TPA inside a semiconductor-based photocathode.

of a photomultiplier tube (PMT). TPA is an absorption process, which relies on a virtual state inside the bandgap of the semiconductor material exhibiting a lifetime resulting from energy-time uncertainty, thus enabling ultrafast and ultrabroadband detection of \( g^{(2)}(0) \) for characteristic values.

Implementing the TPA-PMT in a Michelson-Interferometer, which introduces a time delay, \( \tau \), via a high-precision, motorized translation stage, second-order autocorrelation functions, \( G^{(2)}(\tau) \), can be extracted from the measured TPA-interferograms via low-pass filtering (figure 4, left) \[27, 50\]. In the following, we will use the notation \( g^{(2)}(0)_{\text{th}} \) and \( g^{(2)}(0)_{\exp} \) to differentiate between theoretically predicted and experimentally determined values, respectively.

It turns out that one of our earlier studies \[28\] demonstrates the tailoring of first- and second-order coherence properties of pure QD-SLD emission by applying optical feedback (OFB) onto the semiconductor emitter (figure 4, right). The essence of this investigation was the observation of a simultaneous, continuous reduction of i) the spectral width, \( \Delta \lambda \), from 120 nm to subnanometer values, and ii) the second-order coherence degree, \( g^{(2)}_{\exp}(0) \), from 1.85 to 1.0, for the light emitted by the QD-SLD (InAs/InGaAs, dot-in-well structure) under increased OFB. However, this observed transition in coherence, induced at relatively low spectral widths, still lacks a theoretical explanation. This published experimental investigation is therefore perfectly suited to be compared to the theoretical investigation performed in this paper, especially because narrowing the spectral width is synonymous with reducing the number of modes, \( N \).

The OFB was realized straightforwardly by splitting the collimated light emission from the QD-SLD by a broadband 50:50 beamsplitter and reflecting the light back into the waveguide with a mirror, forming a total feedback distance of 600 mm (figure 4, right). For optimized and controlled OFB coupling, the polarization of the backfed light has been analyzed preliminarily using the Stokes parameter formalism \[51\]. The polarization was found to be preserved during propagation in the OFB arm, revealing an expectedly high degree of
polarization (>0.95) above the ASE threshold with a dominant linear polarization component whose orientation coincides well with the horizontal structure of the QD layers. A small feedback strength below 5% resulted in a smooth narrowing of the ultrabroadband optical spectra down to 15 nm spectral widths in terms of wavelength, with ASE ripples becoming more and more significant (Optical Spectrum Analyzer ADVANTEST Q8384). A high feedback strength up to 25% induced strong spectral narrowing with multimode emission operation. There, the frequency spacing of the modes clearly corresponded to the QD-SLD waveguide length of 3 mm. We want to emphasize that we avoided any system dynamics caused by OFB operation by delicately choosing OFB adjustments where the permanently monitored optical spectrum showed stabilized and controlled emission during the measurement. In this study, we are explicitly not interested in any dynamical regimes where OFB on QD-based emitters can lead to highly nonlinear and chaotic behavior [52, 53], especially when one deals with low-gain regimes [54, 55].

The continuous transition of the second-order coherence degree, $g^{(2)}(0)$, from the optical feedback experiment as a function of the number of modes, $N$, in comparison with values calculated according to our theoretical model (equation (30)). Additionally, a guide-to-the-eye trajectory is depicted, with $\Delta^2 p^i/(\langle p^i \rangle)^2 = 0.8$ reflecting the predicted behavior of figure 3.

Table 1. Representative values taken from figure 5 with experimentally determined parameters $N$ and $\Delta^2 p^i/(\langle p^i \rangle)^2$ for calculation using equation (30).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$N$</th>
<th>$\Delta p^i/(\langle p^i \rangle)^2$</th>
<th>$g^{(2)}_{\text{exp}}(0)$</th>
<th>$g^{(2)}_{\text{th}}(0)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1945</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>1.999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

for ultrabroadband QD-SLD emission, $N$ takes very high values. Here, the number of modes could not be enumerated straightforwardly by counting spectral peaks because smooth Gaussian-like spectral shapes dominate, and therefore $N$ remains experimentally undeterminable. However, a lower bound estimate is given by the number of Fabry-Pérot modes matching the length, $L = 3$ mm, of the QD-SLD waveguide, similar to a multimode laser but here with strongly broadened and overlapping longitudinal modes. In practice, $N$ has been determined by fitting modes with spacing according to the free spectral range (FSR) in terms of angular frequency, $\Delta \omega = 2 \pi c/(2n_{\text{GaAs}} L) = 2 \pi \cdot 1.465 \cdot 10^{10}$ Hz ($n_{\text{GaAs}} \approx 3.41$), to the optical spectra, taking into account the previously mentioned 13 dB cutoff, resulting in mode numbers $N > 1000$. In this regime, we observe experimental values, $g^{(2)}_{\text{exp}}(0)$, fluctuating around 1.85 and theoretical values around $g^{(2)}_{\text{th}}(0) = 1.999$ (i.e., very close to the limit value of 2 for pure thermal states). Again, the specified mode numbers, $N$, are lower,
bound estimates, but regarding figure 3, we can deduce that, considering the experimentally determined values of \( \Delta^2 p' / \langle \langle p' \rangle \rangle^2 \) (see table 1) of about 0.6, \( g_{th}^{(2)}(0) \), \( N > 1000 \) is clearly restricted to values above 1.99, which limits the uncertainties, \( \Delta g_{th}^{(2)}(0) \), to below 1%.

The observed discrepancy, \( \Delta g^{(2)}(0) = |g^{(2)}_{exp}(0) - g^{(2)}_{th}(0)| \approx 0.15 \), between experiment and theory in this ultrabroadband regime can have various causes. First, the frequency-dependent TPA detection efficiency described by the TPA absorption parameter, \( \beta(\omega) \), of the detecting photomultiplier might engender an unequal balance between photons of different frequencies contributing to the total intensity correlation signal, and thus lead to a slight reduction in \( g^{(2)}_{exp}(0) \) [56]. Second, chromatic and spherical aberration is an important challenge when one deals with spectrally ultrabroadband light beams. We manage to minimize these effects by incorporating NIR-optimized achromatic doublet lenses (Thorlabs) with high-quality aberration control. And third, chromatic dispersion of the beamsplitter from the Michelson apparatus may affect the central degree of second-order coherence [27, 33, 56]. In addition to all those experimental effects, the SLD itself might exhibit nonideal thermal photon bunching [30, 31, 57] and thus intrinsically provide reduced values of \( g^{(2)}(0) \).

Entering the regime of directly countable mode numbers of \( N = 30 \) down to \( N = 15 \), we still observe high second-order coherence degrees above \( g^{(2)}_{th}(0) = 1.8 \), but already with a slightly decreasing tendency. This is in agreement with the calculated values, \( g^{(2)}_{th}(0) \), which show a less-fluctuating trajectory. It is only for small mode numbers, \( N < 15 \), that a steep transition from \( g^{(2)}(0) = 1.8 \) to \( g^{(2)}(0) = 1.0 \) is recorded, both for experimental and for calculated values. Strongly deviating \( g^{(2)}_{exp}(0) \) values are due to challenging experimental conditions concerning the stabilization of the QD-SDL emission under OFB during the measurement. Nevertheless, the agreement between experiment and theory is more than obvious, and therefore we can confirm that the coherence transition is indeed triggered by the strongly reduced number of existing emission modes, \( N \), and the slightly enhanced ratio of \( \Delta^2 p' / \langle \langle p' \rangle \rangle^2 \). Hence, this result supports the assumed PRAG state for describing ASE light states from QD-SDLs.

Unfortunately, the coherence transition is observed for a very low number of modes where the QD-SDL no longer exhibits smooth broadband spectra. The reason for significant second order coherence changes only for \( N < 15 \) lies in the small values of \( \Delta^2 p' / \langle \langle p' \rangle \rangle^2 \) (see table 1) in the range between 1 and 2. For broadband emission with tens of nanometer spectral widths and Gaussian-like spectral shapes, we find even lower values, \( \Delta^2 p' / \langle \langle p' \rangle \rangle^2 < 1 \), which fix second-order coherence degrees quickly to \( g^{(2)}(0) = 2 \) by increasing \( N \) (figure 3). The drawback of these results is therefore the loss of the broadband emission property of the QD-SDL, and hence the accuracy of the PRAG model in the broadband ASE regime of the QD-SDL still requires more evidence.

Consequently, we choose to implement a second experimental approach with priority on the conservation of the broadband ASE regime of QD-SDL operation: a fully coherent light state from a single-mode laser emission is superimposed on broadband ASE from a QD-SDL with an implemented variability of the intensity ratio between both light components influencing the second-order correlation properties. The coherent light state thereby probes the accuracy of the assumed PRAG state via the combined photon statistical behavior. This approach is based on the concept of ‘mixed-light,’ which has been subject to extensive experimental and theoretical studies starting shortly after the invention of the laser in the 1960s, in connection with photon-counting methods and the newly developed HBT experiment [5, 6, 58–61]. Recently, mixed-light state analysis with pseudothermal light [62] has been investigated, demonstrating the continuous tunability of photon statistics [63] regarding polarization dependencies related to possible applications such as ghost imaging schemes [64]. Here, we extend the mixed-light phenomenon to highly first-order incoherent light sources, and we exploit it for the verification of our theoretical model.

**4. Mixing light from two sources**

In this section, we present the theoretical analysis of the superposition of a coherent light state with the already introduced PRAG state, focusing on the proper quantum optical definition of the superimposed state of light and the resulting combined second-order correlation behavior. In a second step, we will show results of the realization of a mixed-light experiment.

**4.1. Mixing light theoretically**

According to the implemented mixed-light experiment (see figure 6), light from a QD-SDL is superimposed with light generated by an independent single-mode laser source with a fixed frequency, \( \omega_k \), combined in a fiber-based beam splitter. From there on, the state of the electric field reads
with the single-mode displacement operator, $\hat{D}(\alpha_k) = \exp(\alpha_k \hat{a}_k^\dagger - \alpha_k^* \hat{a}_k)$, and $\hat{\rho}$ given by equation (4). In other words, we add a coherent amplitude $\alpha_k$ in mode $k$ to the state of the QD-SLD light as a result of the beam splitter, mixing the two independent sources.

The normalized temporal first- and second-order autocorrelation functions for mixed-light can be determined the same way as the case of a single source. For the temporal second-order correlation function $G^{(2)}(\tau)$ and the total power $P^m$ of light states characterized by the density operator of equation (31), one gets

$$G^{(2)}(\tau) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{-i\omega_i \tau} \left( p_i^I + p_i^I + p_i^I \right),$$

$$P^m = \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i^I + p_i^I + p_i^I \equiv P^I + P^I + P^I,$$

showing the same results as for the QD-SLD, but with additional terms considering contributions from the laser. Here, the laser power is defined as

$$P^I \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i^I \quad \text{with} \quad p_i^I = \frac{\hbar \omega_i c}{L} |\alpha_i|^2 \delta_{ik}.$$  

(34)

Now, we can specify the spectrum of the mixed-light state,

$$S(\omega) = P^I \delta(\omega - \omega_k) + \frac{1}{\Delta \omega} \left( p^I(\omega) + p^I(\omega) \right),$$

(35)

with three contributing terms (i.e., three single spectral distributions), as illustrated in figure 7. The green line indicates a delta function at frequency $\omega_k$, which occurs due to the laser light description of a pure coherent state. The other two distributions originate from the assumed nature of the PRAG states: the blue curve reflects the thermal contribution, described by an ordinary Planck distribution, and the red curve is a Gaussian, representing its incoherent character.

The temporal normalized second-order correlation function in the case of mixed-light with density operator $\hat{\rho}_m$ reads...
\[ g^{(2)}(\tau) = 1 + \left| g^{(1)}(\tau) \right|^2 = \frac{p^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i^2}{p^{m2}}. \]  

(36)

As in the previous discussion in section 2, the time-dependence only arises from the modulus of

\[ \left| g^{(1)}(\tau) \right|^2 = \frac{1}{p^{m2}} \left[ p^2 + \left| \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i^2 e^{-i\omega_{ij}\tau} \right|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i^2 e^{-i\omega_{ik}\tau} \right]^2 + 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} p_i^2 p_j^2 \cos \Delta_{ij}\tau + 2p^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( p_i^4 + p_i^2 \right) \cos \Delta_{ik}\tau \]

(37)

with frequency difference \( \Delta_{ij} = \omega_{ij} - \omega_{ij} \). The last term in (37) oscillates with the beat frequency of the laser and the i-th mode of the QD-SLD, \( \Delta_{ik} = \omega_{ik} - \omega_{ik} \), leading to side-bands in the spectrum and in \( g^{(2)}(\tau) \).

It is instructive to discuss different limiting cases of the light-state assumptions. For a pure thermal state (i.e., \( \alpha_k \) and \( \gamma_k \) are zero), the last term in (36) vanishes and the temporal second-order correlation function reduces to the well-known Siegert relation [65]

\[ g^{(2)}(\tau) = 1 + \left| g^{(1)}(\tau) \right|^2, \]

(38)

where \( g^{(1)}(\tau) \) is the normalized first-order correlation function for thermal light sources. For a single coherent state, the correlation function of the second order takes the expected constant value of one, \( g^{(2)}(\tau) = 1 \), for arbitrary time delay, \( \tau \). Certainly, for vanishing amplitude \( \alpha_k \), the expression of the second-order correlation function, as already studied, reduces to equation (30). Specifically, in the case of identical space-time events, \( \tau = 0 \), we get

\[ g^{(2)}(0) = 2 - \frac{p^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i^2}{p^{m2}}. \]

(39)

Rewriting \( g^{(2)}(0) \) in terms of variance and mean values, as already introduced, yields

\[ g^{(2)}(0) = 2 - \frac{1}{N} \left( \frac{\langle \Delta \phi'^2 \rangle}{\langle \phi'^2 \rangle} + \frac{p^2}{\langle \phi'^2 \rangle} \right)^2. \]

(40)

4.2. Example of a Gaussian spectrum

Motivated by the experimentally obtained optical spectra of figure 1, we study analytically the case of a single Gaussian spectrum, that is,

\[ p'(\omega) = P'_0 \frac{\alpha_k \Delta \omega}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_0} e^{-\frac{(\omega - \omega_k)^2}{2\sigma^2}}, \]

(41)

with mean value \( \omega_k \), frequency width \( \Delta \omega = (\omega_{N}-\omega_k)/(N + 1) \), and standard deviation \( \sigma \). The normalization constant, \( P'_0 \), is determined by the discrete summation of the powers

\[ P' \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{N} p'(\omega_i) \approx P'_0, \]

(42)

which is satisfied by equation (41), assuming the applicability of the Euler–Maclaurin formula (see appendix B). For the sake of simplicity we neglect thermal contributions to the spectrum of the SLD, (i.e., \( p'(\omega_k) = 0 \)). After utilization of the Euler–Maclaurin formula and introduction of dimensionless variables \( \tilde{\tau} = \tau/\sigma, \tilde{\phi} = \phi/\sigma, \Delta \tilde{\phi} = \Delta \phi/\sigma, \) and \( \tilde{\phi}_k = \phi_k/\sigma = (\omega - \omega_k)/\sigma \), we obtain the scaled second-order correlation function

\[ g^{(2)}(\tilde{\tau}) = 1 + \frac{1}{(1 + \epsilon)^2} \times \left\{ e^{-\epsilon^2} \left( 1 + \left( \frac{\tilde{\tau}}{\tilde{\phi}} \right)^2 \right) - \eta + 2e^{-\epsilon^2} e \left( \cos \left( \tilde{\phi}_k \tilde{\tau} \right) - \frac{\tilde{\tau}}{\tilde{\phi}_k} \sin \left( \tilde{\phi}_k \tilde{\tau} \right) \right) \right\}, \]

(43)

with

\[ \eta = \frac{\Delta \tilde{\phi}}{2p^2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2\tilde{\phi}_k^2} \right), \]

\[ \epsilon = \frac{p'}{p^2}. \]

(44)

For an increasing time delay, the first term in the brackets exhibits an exponential decreasing behavior that is subtracted by a small offset depending on the frequency distance, \( \Delta \tilde{\phi} \), and the mean value, \( \tilde{\phi} \), of the QD-SLD; the last term shows a damped oscillation with beat frequency \( \Delta \tilde{\phi}_k \), depicted in figure 8. Here, the blue line corresponds to the scaled second-order correlation function of mixed-light for varying time delay \( \tilde{\tau} \), with the
chosen values $\epsilon = 3/2$, $\Delta \omega = 10^{-3}$, $\delta = 100$, and $\delta \omega_k = 4$. The dashed (dotted) black line depicts the limiting case of vanishing laser (QD-SLD) light. Evaluating equation (43) for time delay $\tau = 0$ results in

$$g^{(2)}(0) = 2 - \frac{\eta + \epsilon^2}{(1 + \epsilon)^2},$$

depending on the frequency width $\Delta \omega$, standard deviation $\sigma$ and on the ratio of the powers of the laser and the QD-SLD.

### 4.3. Mixing light experimentally

The superposition of the coherent light state with broadband QD-SLD light is experimentally realized by the exclusive use of semiconductor-based opto-electronic emitters, namely a single-mode quantum-well ridge-waveguide Fabry-Perot laser (Eblana Photonics) and a QD-SLD (Innolume GmbH).

The 4 mm long waveguide QD-SLD consists of a triple-chirped epitaxial structure (InAs/InGaAs, dot-in-well structure with 10 active QD layers) in order to realize ultrabroadband ASE when operated above the ASE threshold with a spectral width $\Delta \lambda = 67 \text{ nm}$ centered at approximately $\lambda = 1236 \text{ nm}$ (figure 1). The combination of a high reflective facet on the backside and an antireflective facet on the front side, allows i) high intensities and efficient light-outcoupling, and ii) efficient suppression of reflections back into the waveguide at the output facet, in order to prevent spectral narrowing. This extreme first-order incoherence is accompanied by enhanced second-order correlations within the ultrashort coherence time, visible solely on the scale of approximatively 100 fs on the recorded TPA interferogram (figure 9 (bottom left)).

Figure 9 (top left) depicts the extracted second-order correlation function $g^{(2)}(\tau)$ (red line), together with its theoretical counterpart (blue line), calculated according to the PRAG state model (equation (27)) with experimentally determined parameters taken from the corresponding optical spectra: $N, p^o, P$, and $[g^{(1)}(\tau)]^2$ using equation (19). Just as for the OFB experiment, $N$ is estimated by taking the lower bound of possibly contributing modes, namely the number of Fabry-Perot modes fitting into the recorded optical spectrum, with spacing corresponding to the FSR with respect to the 4-mm-long waveguide of the QD-SLD. One can recognize coinciding functions, revealing i) an ultrashort coherence time of 70 fs, and ii) strongly enhanced correlations with a central second-order coherence degree of $g^{(2)}_{\text{exp}}(0) = 1.91 \pm 0.05$, close to the limit value of 2 for pure thermal states, which is nicely reproduced by theory ($g^{(2)}_{\text{th}}(0) = 1.999$), revealing a fully incoherent light state for the QD-SLD emission.

On the other hand, the single-mode laser, operated above laser threshold, exhibits a central wavelength of $\lambda = 1300 \text{ nm}$ in combination with a spectral bandwidth, $\omega < 2\pi \times 1.75 \times 10^8 \text{ Hz}$ as well as a side-mode suppression ratio of 37 dB. Ideal coherent laser light exhibits constant correlation functions $g^{(n)}_{\text{th}}(\tau) = 1$, for every order $n$, and thus $g^{(2)}_{\text{th}}(\tau) = 1$ is expected. Measuring the second-order correlation function, delivers an approximate constant value of $g^{(2)}_{\text{exp}}(\tau) = 1.01 \pm 0.04$ (figure 9, right), which reveals a high coherent light source character, not only showing high-quality monochromaticity reflected by the fully modulated interference fringes (figure 9, bottom right), but also a central second-order coherence degree of $g^{(2)}_{\text{exp}}(0) = 1.00 \pm 0.01$ (figure 9, top right), reflecting Poissonian photon statistics behavior.

Because of the limited range of the translation stage moving the mirror inside the interferometer, this value has been double-checked via a photon-counting experiment determining the explicit photon number distribution $[71] p(n)$, validating $g^{(2)}_{\text{exp}}(0) = 1.0$. 

![Figure 8. Scaled temporal second order correlation function $g^{(2)}(\tau)$ versus dimensionless delay time $\tau$ (equation (43)) for Gaussian distributed photon number $|\gamma|^2$ with $\epsilon = 3/2$, $\Delta \omega = 10^{-3}$, $\delta = 100$, and $\delta \omega_k = 4$ (blue line). The black dotted (dashed) line represents the correlation in absence of the light-emitting QD-SLD (single-mode laser).](image-url)
The experimental setup for the superposition of the two light fields, already introduced in the theoretical part, is schematically drawn in figure 6. To get experimental access to a maximum range of photon statistical variation in terms of $g^{(2)}(0)$, we introduce a variable attenuator within the beam path of each light source. As stated in the beginning, special care is taken to preserve the broadband QD-SLD emission property, and hence we ensure steady-state emission conditions by driving both light sources at a constant heat sink temperature of 20.0 °C and constant DC-pump currents. The combination of the two respective attenuation values results in a power ratio between single-mode laser optical power $P_l$ and QD-SLD optical power $P_s$, which represents the critical parameter for the photon statistics tunability in the mixed-light experiment (equations (39) and (40)). A clearer illustration of its dependency can be given by introducing a relative quantity, $\zeta$, expressed by

$$\zeta = \frac{P_l}{P_s} = \frac{\epsilon}{1 + \epsilon},$$

with $\epsilon$ already defined in equation (44), constraining the values of $\zeta$ to a range between 0 (exclusive QD-SLD emission, figure 9, left) and 1 (exclusive laser emission, figure 9, right). Applying $\zeta$ to the theoretical results of the mixed state of light, we can rewrite equations (36) and (40) into

$$g_{\text{th}}^{(2)}(\tau) = 1 + \left[g_{\text{th}}^{(1)}(\tau) - \zeta^2 - \frac{1}{N^2} \left[1 + \frac{\Delta^2 p'}{\langle p' \rangle^2} \right] (1 - \zeta)^2 \right]$$

and correspondingly

$$g_{\text{th}}^{(2)}(0) = 2 - \zeta^2 - \frac{1}{N^2} \left[1 + \frac{\Delta^2 p'}{\langle p' \rangle^2} \right] (1 - \zeta)^2.$$  

Note that these theoretical counterparts respect the general and discrete spectral distribution case $p_i$, due to the complex optical spectra formation of the QD-SLD (see figure 1) [39], and they will be used to calculate theoretical counterparts for the following comparisons to experimental results.

Figure 10 (bottom) shows an exemplary TPA interferogram corresponding to $\zeta = 0.6$. The interferogram exhibits a shape that includes features from both sources: (i) a long range ($\tau > 1$) intensity modulation originating from laser emission, but with reduced constructive and destructive interference maxima that show already the interplay of both light fields, and (ii) enhanced correlation for $\tau < 1$ originating from QD-SLD emission, together with a modulation of the envelope, clearly indicating a superposition. Figure 10 (top) pictures the experimentally extracted $g_{\text{exp}}^{(2)}(\tau)$ function (red line), as well as the calculated correlation function $g_{\text{th}}^{(2)}(\tau)$ (equation (47), blue line) showing well-coinciding trajectories: the beat signal-like modulation of the envelope of the interferogram (figure 10, bottom) translates into secondary maxima $g_{\text{th}}^{(2)}(\pm \tau_2)$ (figure 10, top), corresponding to the spread of the central wavelengths of both emitters, $\Delta \lambda \approx 64$ nm, resulting in a beat time of $\tau_{\text{beat}} = \tau_2 \approx 76$ fs where the theoretical model reproduces both the proper time scales, $+\tau_2$ and $-\tau_2$, and the absolute values of the secondary maxima, $g_{\text{th}}^{(2)}(\pm \tau_2) = 1.1$. Most decisively, $g_{\text{exp}}^{(2)}(0)$ takes a value of 1.64, clearly differing from values of the two single-emission states also confirmed by theory with a value 1.63. Slight

![Figure 9. TPA interferograms in arbitrary TPA-count unit (bottom), measured here with a Hamamatsu H7421-40 photomultiplier (GaAsP photocathode) and determined $g^{(2)}(\tau)$ (top) of single device emission: (left) QD-SLD ASE and (right) single-mode laser light. (Inset) Close-up of the TPA interferogram showing high-resolution interference fringes.](image-url)
deviations between theory and experiment are observed in the range of \( \tau \ll 0^2 \), where the experimental resolution does not allow one to record the theoretically predicted minima below \( \tau = g(2) \). Investigating \( g(2) \) as a function of \( \zeta \) (figure 11, black crosses), we achieve a full-range, continuous tunability of \( g(2) \) in the range between 1.91 and 1.0 with a parabola-like trajectory. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first demonstration of the mixed-light phenomenon that includes an ultrabroadband light source. Figure 11 also depicts the theoretical values \( g(2) \) (blue squares) obtained from the derived analytical expression (equation (48)) calculated with the experimentally determined parameters: \( \zeta, N, \langle (p^r) \rangle, \Delta^2 p^r, \) and \( P^D \) (table 2). Comparing the theoretical and the experimental trajectories of \( g(2) \) as a function of \( \zeta \), we note an overall good agreement with excellently coinciding values for \( \zeta \geq 0.6 \) within the statistical uncertainties, and slightly deviating trajectories for \( \zeta < 0.6 \). The latter is again explainable by the previously discussed experimental challenges in section 3, which prevent ideal detection of thermal values, \( g(2) \), in this ultrabroadband emission regime of the QD-SLD, therefore resulting in an experimentally obtained parabola trend, \( g(2) \) (blue squares) obtained from our theoretical model based on experimental parameters are also plotted for comparison.

Nevertheless, we observe an overall good reproduction of photon statistical behavior in this mixed-light experiment by the analytical quantum theoretical considerations based on the superposition of a well-known coherent light state and the assumed PRAG state. We thus deduce that the broadband light states generated by the ASE of the QD-SLD are well described by the PRAG states.
5. Conclusion

In conclusion, we have studied ultrabroadband amplified spontaneous emission generated by QD-SLDs in terms of first- and second-order correlations, as well as mixing the emissions with coherent light.

For the analysis of the experiments, we considered an $N$-mode PRAG state. This state is an incoherent superposition of thermal Gaussian states shifted by a complex amplitude, $\gamma_i$, for each mode. This ansatz is well suited to match any given NIR optical spectrum: it reflects the incoherent character of these broadband emitters and reproduces correct intensity correlations. We have derived analytical expressions for first- and second-order correlation functions $\tau_g(1)$, $\tau_g(2)$, and $g^{(2)}(0)$, the latter being the footprint of the photon statistics. The intensity correlation $g^{(2)}(0)$, depends functionally on the first-order correlation $\tau_g(1)$, with additional finite mode number corrections.

By a straightforward extension of an OFB experiment [28], we could change the number of modes, $N$, by narrowing the spectrum. This resulted in a coherence transition, as seen in figure 5, and agreed very well with the predictions for $g^{(2)}(0)$ by the PRAG state.

The drawback of spectral narrowing was rectified by a second experiment creating a mixed-light state. There, we superimposed coherent light from a single-mode laser with a steady-state broadband QD-SLD emission. As a main result, we obtained broad-range tunable photon statistics; to the best of our knowledge, this is the first realization of the mixed-light phenomenon that includes a completely incoherent light component (i.e., strong incoherence in both first- and second-order correlations (figure 11)). All relevant experimental features of the mixed-light state can be accounted for with the PRAG state, including the temporal correlation functions $\tau_g(2)$, which are applicable to pure QD-SLD emission as well as to mixed-light at ultra-short timescales (cf figures 9 and 10).

This comprehensive theoretical and experimental study of two different types of tunable photon statistic experiments validates the simple PRAG-state ansatz for broadband QD-SLD ASE. This allows us to identify relevant parameters, such as the number of modes, $N$, and the statistical properties of their spectral distribution: $\langle p^\dagger(\omega) \rangle$, $\langle (p^\dagger(\omega))^2 \rangle$, and $\Delta p^\dagger p^\dagger$. Future microscopic modeling of the QD-SLD semiconductor will benefit from these insights.
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Appendix A. Fitting the optical power spectrum

A smooth interpolation of the optical power spectrum, $S(\omega)$, of a QD-SLD, which is depicted in figure 1, is given by a sum of three Gaussian distributions,

$$ S(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} S_i^0 \exp \left\{ -\frac{(\omega - \bar{\omega}_i)^2}{2\sigma_i^2} \right\}. $$

The numerical data of the fitted amplitudes $S_i^0$, the central frequencies $\bar{\omega}_i$ and standard deviations $\sigma_i$ are tabulated in table 3.
In this paper, the central frequency of an optical power spectrum, $\omega_S$, is defined as the integral
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d(\omega) S(\omega).
\]
Consequently, the spectrum in Figure 1, described by the Gaussian distribution $S(\omega)$ in equation (A1) with the specified parameters of Table 3, has a central angular frequency $\omega = 2\pi \cdot 246.2$ THz or a central wavelength $\lambda = 1236$ nm.

A well-established definition of the spectral width $b_\tilde{S}$ is given by the twofold standard deviation,
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d(\omega) S(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} f(a + (i - 1)\Delta) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{f(\omega) + f(b)}{2} \Delta f(t) + \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} \frac{a^{2m-1}B_{2m}}{(2m)!} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{m=1}^{M-1} B_{2m} \omega^{2m-1} f^{(2m-1)}(b) - f^{(2m-1)}(a)}{2m} - R_M.
\]

Provided that the procedure leads to a vanishing residual $R_M$, we obtain a series approximation of order $M$ in terms of Bernoulli numbers $B_k$ and the higher-order derivatives of a function $f^{(k)}$. The width of the $N - 1$ equally spaced integration intervals is $\Delta \equiv (b - a)/(N - 1)$ [67, 68].
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**Table 3.** Fit parameters of the Gaussian fit (A1) to the QD-SLD spectrum depicted in figure 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>i</th>
<th>1 (dashed)</th>
<th>2 (dashed-dotted)</th>
<th>3 (solid)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\omega_i$ (THz)</td>
<td>$2\pi \cdot 242.55$</td>
<td>$2\pi \cdot 246.05$</td>
<td>$2\pi \cdot 236.82$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_i$ (THz)</td>
<td>$2\pi \cdot 2.468$</td>
<td>$2\pi \cdot 2.875$</td>
<td>$2\pi \cdot 2.105$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_i$ (arbitrary units)</td>
<td>1.904</td>
<td>0.637</td>
<td>0.532</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>